



Equality Impact Assessment

Preliminary assessment form v5 / 2013

www.portsmouth.gov.uk

The preliminary impact assessment is a quick and easy screening process. It should:

- identify those policies, projects, services, functions or strategies which require a full EIA by looking at:
 - negative, positive or no impact on any of the equality groups
 - opportunity to promote equality for the equality groups
 - data / feedback
- prioritise if and when a full EIA should be completed
- justify reasons for why a full EIA is not going to be completed

Directorate:

Director of Regeneration (Transport)

**Function e.g. HR,
IS, carers:**

New, and changes to existing, on-street parking restrictions

Title of policy, service, function, project or strategy (new or old) :

TRO 78B/2018: The Portsmouth City Council (Various Roads) (Waiting Restrictions and Amendments) (No.78) Order 2018

Type of policy, service, function, project or strategy:

- ☐ Existing
- ☒ New / proposed
- ☐ Changed

Q1 - What is the aim of your policy, service, function, project or strategy?

The traffic regulation order (TRO) seeks to introduce parking restrictions on the public highway, and to make changes to existing restrictions to accommodate local needs.

Q2 - Who is this policy, service, function, project or strategy going to benefit or have a detrimental effect on and how?

Traffic regulation orders (TROs) affect all road users, including motorists, pedestrians and cyclists. They can be proposed for the following reasons:

- For avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of such danger arising, or
- For preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or
- For facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians), or
- For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs, or
- For any of the purposes specified in s.87(1)(a-c) of the Environment Act 1995 (air quality).
- To address parking/traffic issues in various roads citywide, in response to concerns and/or requests from residents, ward councillors, public services, businesses, etc. Reasons include:
 - o to improve road safety, pedestrian safety, visibility and management of traffic, ensure access for the emergency services, public services (particularly refuse collection vehicles) and delivery vehicles
 - o To amend/remove/reduce parking restrictions to accommodate changing local needs and to ensure the most effective and appropriate use of the public highway

Whilst Blue Badge holders may park on double yellow lines for up to 3 hours, and therefore some of the proposals may be beneficial in enabling disabled people to park closer to their destination, the proposed allocated Doctor parking bay in Herbert Road would potentially mean disabled and older people and pregnant women have further to walk to access the surgery / practice.

Disabled and older persons, and those who are pregnant, would no longer be able to park in the closest space to the doctors' practice, potentially having to park further away. The allocated bay would also be unavailable for carers and other health professionals attending to residents of Herbert Road, even when empty. Parking in this residential area is currently unrestricted and available on a first-come, first-served basis.

For these reasons, officers are not recommending that the Doctor bay is installed.

However, a disabled resident has highlighted that the doctor may be able to attend more quickly when required if the distance to where the on-call doctor has parked is reduced. The bay was requested to enable on-call doctors to reach patients more quickly, and to return to the practice again more quickly, minimising delays to appointments.

Q3 - Thinking about each group below, does, or could the policy, service, function, project or strategy have a negative impact on members of the equality groups below?

Group	Negative	Positive / no impact	Unclear
Age	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transgender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy and maternity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other excluded groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If the answer is "negative" or "unclear" consider doing a full EIA

Q4 - Does, or could the policy, service, function, project or strategy help to promote equality for members of the equality groups?

Group	Yes	No	Unclear
Age	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Transgender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy or maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Other excluded groups

☐☐☒

If the answer is "no" or "unclear" consider doing a full EIA

Q5 - Do you have any feedback data from the equality groups that influences, affects or shapes this policy, service, function, project or strategy?

Group	Yes	No	Unclear
Age	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transgender	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy and maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other excluded groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If the answer is "no" or "unclear" consider doing a full EIA

Q6 - Using the assessments in questions 3, 4 and 5 should a full assessment be carried out on this policy, service, function or strategy?

☐

yes

☒

No

Q7 - How have you come to this decision?

Overall, TRO 78 does not have a negative impact on any of the protected characteristics as described in the Equality Act 2010, and could improve road safety and the management of traffic for all road users.

However, the designated Doctor bay in an otherwise unrestricted residential road could affect the ability of disabled people to access the surgery, having to park further away, along with the ability of carers and other health professionals to attend elderly and unwell residents in Herbert Road itself. There is a care home in Herbert Road, making this more likely to occur. If the Doctor bay is empty, the closest space to the surgery, a disabled person would not be able to use it.

Therefore, officers are recommending that the Doctor bay is not installed.

However, the other side to this argument is that the doctor will have a reduced distance to walk to his/her car to then drive to home visits, and similarly when returning to the practice. This could benefit those (including older, disabled and pregnant persons) in terms of reduced waiting times and quicker attendance by a doctor.

If you have to complete a full EIA please contact the Equalities and diversity team if you require help
Tel: 023 9283 4789 or email:equalities@portsmouthcc.gov.uk

Q8 - Who was involved in the EIA?

Nikki Musson, Senior Transport Planner
Felicity Tidbury, Transport Planning Team Manager

This EIA has been approved by: Felicity Tidbury

Contact number: 023 9268 8261

Date: 04/10/2018

Please email a copy of your completed EIA to the Equality and diversity team. We will contact you with any comments or queries about your preliminary EIA.

Telephone: 023 9283 4789

Email: equalities@portsmouthcc.gov.uk